OUTCOME/POSITION			
	Group 1	**Group 2**	**Group 3**
	Facilitator: Joe Delaronde	**Facilitator: Dodie Gilbert**	**Facilitator: Patsy Bordeau**
	Resource person: Chris Bush-Diabo	**Resource person: Richard Nolan**	**Resource person: Jo-Ann Stacey**
	Spokesperson: Joe Delaronde	**Spokesperson: Brian Delormier**	**Spokesperson: Patsy Bordeau**
Section	**Recorder: Brandi Meloche**	**Recorder: Melissa Curotte**	**Recorder: Trina Diabo-Jacobs**
Preamble	➢ Facilitator runs through suggestions provided by community members that could not be in attendance. Most of the changes in the provided documents are changes in wording. The word "Forum" as in, "The creation of any forum". The word is too broad. What does this mean? ➢ **SUGGESTION** →Define the word "Forum". ➢ **First paragraph of Preamble:** Problem with the word "Laws" and the word "Government". This needs to be defined. ➢ When laws are made it's not for a specific group, it is for the whole community of Kahnawake. ➢ Mohawk Council is the Government of Kahnawà:ke. Some choose to govern themselves but, the Mohawk Council is the Government. ➢ Should it then read, "various governments"? ➢ As Mohawk people we have the right to govern ourselves. We want to move toward Traditional Government, we are moving toward that but it's not going to happen overnight. Happy with the global content. ➢ Acts, Legislative Acts, Laws – Would it be more clear to refer to it just as "Law"? ➢ Make it as simple and clear as possible. ➢ The document had to be read several	➢ Facilitator runs through suggestions provided by community members that could not be in attendance: Unless they are using the rules of the longhouse or the people of the longhouse for what they stand for, they cannot use or say this. ➢ Same wording use in every document. ➢ Paragraph 1, group 2 feels it will be a problem but would word it differently. ➢ Some participants feel that the content does not need to change. ➢ Paragraph 2, remove the word "traditional" This is not part of the traditional way. ➢ Paragraph 2, Change traditional to possible "extended" lands ➢ Paragraph 3, good. ➢ Paragraph 4, Are we still using the Federal and Provincial laws within the Territory. (Yes, unless we make our own laws within the Territory) ➢ Paragraph 4, this sounds like any organization within Kahnawake that have their own laws or regulations- their laws supersede this law. ➢ Paragraph 4, the legislative acts needs to be simplified, a simple definition.	➢ Paragraph 1 – Like it but there would be a possible problem/issue on the wording of traditional. - Take out the "with which no one can interfere". Put a period after govern ourselves, (in the 1st paragraph) - If we are going to try to make this enforceable. Non-confrontational. - People are not respectful, and everyone preaches it but do not respect it. It was our way but not anymore. We would not have to do this if the people acted the way they're supposed to. - Responsibilities.

	times for clarity. Simplify the wording and stick to the word, "Law". ➢ "Will of the People" – Is this a cliché? Overused?	➢ Paragraph 5, Sken:nen Aonsón:ton "could" not "shall" be the 1st choice. ➢ Paragraph 6, good.	
	➢ Question → What does the word *"Forum"* really mean? ➢ Answer → There are different methods/arenas that can be used. ➢ Question → Page 1 – Second to last paragraph – Why state – *"any Court or Tribunal that has been legally constituted*"? Answer → Should the case go to an outside Court; we will abide by the Criminal Code.	➢ Group 2 is good with the Preamble but voiced their concern above.	➢ Paragraph 2 - Why is stated Justice System when it is the Justice Act? Thinks it is two separate documents? The system is all the contents of the Act. - Would the title change? Once it is enacted we will have the processes laid out. The words were taken from the community. - Is this what the community decided and what they want? - The "ACT" will be what oversees the system. - In the content of paragraph 2, is it correct?
	➢ Define terms such as: Legislative, Acts, Laws, Forum etc.		➢ Paragraph 3 – 5 - Use the Sken:nen Aonsón:ton as the first step. - Adding a glossary and define terminology.

OUTCOME/POSITION			
Group 1		**Group 2**	**Group 3**
Facilitator: Joe Delaronde		**Facilitator: Dodie Gilbert**	**Facilitator: Patsy Bordeau**
Resource person: Chris Bush-Diabo		**Resource person: Richard Nolan**	**Resource person: Jo-Ann Stacey**
Spokesperson: Joe Delaronde		**Spokesperson: Brian Delormier**	**Spokesperson: Patsy Bordeau**
Section	**Recorder: Brandi Meloche**	**Recorder: Melissa Curotte**	**Recorder: Trina Diabo-Jacobs**
Generalities	**Section II** **Generalities1.1** – *"Jurisdiction"* needs to be defined. ➢ 3.1. – *"This Act applies to all maters and acts committed on or involving any persons within the territory"* - This is too broad. Should a Non-Native commit a crime within the territory, we have to be able to deal with them. ➢ **3.1** -Specify – "Kahnawake Justice System". Is re-write too limiting?	➢ <u>1.1 Jurisdiction for this act</u> - ensure it is "we the people" not so called govern bodies ➢ 2. <u>Purpose</u> good ➢ 3.1 <u>Application</u> good ➢ 3.2 Participants have an issue with the power of judicial forums, the power is given the power what is the point of having a court. This sounds like all Boards are equal (needs clarification) ➢ 3.3 Good Including Conservation officer as entities empowered or mandated by the laws of Kahnawake. ➢ 4.1 Good	**Section II** ➢ No comment all is good ➢ 2.1 – No comment all is good ➢ 3.1-3.2 – No comment all good ➢ 3.3 – Reflect that this includes all Kahnawake Territories. (Tioweroton included). ➢ 4.1 Question: on non-Kahnawakehró:non coming in and they have to respect our laws.
	➢ Question → How far have Politicians gone to defend jurisdiction? ➢ Answer → Create then defend. ➢ Do "10 Agreements" allow for funding of Justice Act? ➢ Question → What work has been done to facilitate recognition?		➢ Is this what the community decided and what they want? ➢ The outcome is mostly all good except for a few minor changes and additions. Group 3 were all in agreement with the minor changes listed above.

OUTCOME/POSITION			
Group 1		**Group 2**	**Group 3**
Facilitator: Joe Delaronde		**Facilitator: Dodie Gilbert**	**Facilitator: Patsy Bordeau**
Resource person: Chris Bush-Diabo		**Resource person: Richard Nolan**	**Resource person: Jo-Ann Stacey**
Spokesperson: Joe Delaronde		**Spokesperson: Brian Delormier**	**Spokesperson: Patsy Bordeau**
Section	**Recorder: Brandi Meloche**	**Recorder: Melissa Curotte**	**Recorder: Trina Diabo-Jacobs**
Forums		➢ 5.1 Good ➢ 5.2 Should be specific and identify as option ADR ➢ 5.2 Good ➢ 5.4 Good *if you are using our court system then there is no need for a lawyer period, unless you need "our" lawyer (clarification needed) ➢ 5.5 & 5.6 Should be combined together.	➢ 5.1 – 5.3 – No comment – all is good this is already all set up. Add to the glossary the word "Conflict" 5.4 – 5.5 – No comment – all is good 5.6 – No comment – all is good