

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

<b>Description</b>	SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW – FIRST READING		
<b>Date</b>	5/9/2011	<b>Location</b>	MCK
<b>Note Taker:</b> Leslie Skye			
<b>Time</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Note</b>	
<a href="#">1:36:20 PM</a>	K.Sky-Deer	<b>Opening</b>	
<a href="#">1:36:35 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	<p><b>Introduction:</b> Began by welcoming everyone and explained that, “today’s Reading was an historic event as it is the first time a Type II piece of legislation goes through the process (CDMP). There are 8 (eight) pieces of legislation going through the process. The Justice Act is the first one, being a Type I that has gone through a part of the process. We’re just going into Phase II with that one soon. The Sanitary Conditions Law (SCL) is the first Type II process. Excited about how process could evolve, develop and how we can make sure that legislation for our community is developed for our community and by our community.” Thanks them for attending.</p> <p>Explains her position and role on the Kahnawà:ke Legislative Coordinating Commission’s (KLCC). Informs the KLCC mandate to handle all of Kahnawà:ke’s legislation from inception through ratification.</p>	
<a href="#">1:37:04 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	<p><b>Introduction - Continuation of responsibility:</b> Explains responsibility of handling concerns from community members that want something to change in Kahnawà:ke. Concern vetted through the CDMP, ensuring it fits into proper place (i.e. specific law or policy). Then bring through process until community says it’s a law. Also responsible for developing tools to fulfill that mandate – i.e. CDMP.</p> <p>Currently have 38 laws (Federal &amp; Kahnawà:ke) on the books. “Since the early ‘80’s Kahnawà:ke has not submitted any legislation to Indian Affairs; it’s been strictly Kahnawà:ke laws.” The CDMP is based on the mandate given by community members in 1979, where they requested to be part more participatory with all of the decisions made by Kahnawà:ke. In recognition of the different groups in Kahnawà:ke; we wanted to develop a process that acknowledged those groups, because historically we were interdependent on each other.</p> <p>We also wanted to ensure decisions were made for the collective good with the best interest of Kahnawà:ke in mind. That is why we looked at consensus building models. Lastly, the CDMP was created as a means to ensure that every community member had</p>	

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		<p>a right and safe place to voice their opinions, concerns and desires to have specific legislation in community. “It’s a transitional process that has already gone through several changes and will continue to evolve. It’s not perfect. We are relying on everyone in the community to participate to help build whatever legislative processes that we want in our community from this day forward.”</p>
<p><a href="#">1:40:51 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p><b>AGENDA:</b>  <b>- Opening</b> – K.Sky-Deer,  <b>- Introduction</b> – L. Delormier,  <b>- Process: Activities to-date</b> – L. Delormier,  <b>- Reading of Law</b> – L. Delormier,  <i>“For the purpose of the process, we have to read the law into the record”.</i>  <b>- Explanation of Amendments</b> - Heather Jacobs-Whyte,  <i>“Lands Unit technician, Heather, will go over the explanation of the amendments that the Lands Unit submitted.”</i>  <b>- Overview</b> – Feedback Received – Heather Jacobs-Whyte,  <i>“...through this 30-Day process &amp; how categorized.”</i>  <b>- Opportunity for Additional Feedback</b> – Open Floor,  <i>“If anyone has any additional feedback or there are still issues that need clarification...or if there are areas you don’t understand or want to changed; that will be the opportunity to do so”.</i>  <b>- Process: Next Steps</b> – L. Delormier,  <i>“Explanation of what will happen next.”</i>  <b>- Closing</b> – K.Sky-Deer.  <i>“Closing done and everyone on their way. Any questions about the agenda?”</i></p>
<p><a href="#">1:42:19 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p><b>Process: Activities to-date:</b> “The Sanitary Conditions Law (SCL) is an old law, originally enacted in 1968. It’s been amended several times; the latest being June 2000”. Categorized by MCK Legal Services as a Type II process. Explained difference between Type I &amp; II processes:  <b>“Type I</b> process is for laws of a general application. Laws that affect your rights, your human rights. Laws that affect the entire community.” Justice is a Type I process. The Membership, Land Code &amp; Election Laws are also a Type I process. “...they go through a more extensive process...they go through a community hearing...they do not move forward unless the community provides a mandate to do so. The community is responsible to provide a mandate in those areas. We have one mandate and that’s for the Justice Act, given over one (1) ago.” The Community Representatives (Chris Bush, Jeremiah Johnson &amp; Dale Dione now replaced by Richard Nolan) responsibility is</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		<p>to maintain integrity of the mandate provided.</p> <p><b>“Type II</b> The Sanitary Conditions Law (SCL) is a Type II law. Type II are for laws that are relevant to specific or special interest groups – a segment of the community and are usually regulatory, financial or administrative in nature. It’s not something that affects our human rights as a community, like Membership or Justice.” The Type II is a quicker process because of a need to develop certain legislation quicker than other laws like Membership. The Type II process has a less comprehensive consultation period (30-Day Notice with opportunity for feedback from community). The Council provides mandate for Type II legislation, which was received for the SCL; now moving forward. “Lands Unit took mandate and developed communications strategy on how they were going to notify community on what the content of their amendments were, what the SCL was. Any questions on the activities up-to-date?”</p>
<p><a href="#">1:46:40 PM</a></p>	<p>Wallace (Bully) Stacey</p>	<p>“How's it going to help the people – all these laws and stuff?”</p>
<p><a href="#">1:47:28 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Delormier</p> <p>Bully Stacey</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p>“That’s a good question.</p> <p><i>“Hard one, eh? I got a couple more too”.</i>)</p> <p>Kahnawà:ke doesn’t have a justice system right now. We still fall under (pause) I might have to ask for help from the Legal people here. <i>(Community member spoke out of turn: “Why do you need it?”)</i> We don’t have an enforceable; we don’t have a system that we can enforce our own laws. The court of Kahnawà:ke is an exercise in jurisdiction but it only goes so far because it is under the Indian Act. So we have a mandate from 1979 that says we want to go towards a more traditional government. What does that mean? Well it means – to me- it means more sovereignty. It means taking control of our affairs for ourselves. And if we have a court system, or a justice system that still falls under the Indian Act we can’t really do that. So the justice system is being developed in accordance with the mandate that the community gave us, and once we have that we can develop whatever. It doesn’t have to be laws, but rules that society needs to follow in order to function as a society. I always say that...not to bring any negativity to the table but when respect is gone, then rules have to come in right? And if everybody is respectful of each other and followed the principles of the</p>



DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

<p><a href="#">1:51:31 PM</a></p>	<p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p> <p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p> <p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Eva Johnson</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p>“I have one more question about what you were talking about. It said in here; I don’t know where I read it. This process is to give more power to the MCK to basically enforce these laws. Once we get these laws with this process here, this becomes a law for Kahnawà:ke. This is what the MCK considers a law. It’s going to be enforced in the territory of Kahnawà:ke. By who?”</p> <p>“If we have a justice system and when we have a justice system then we can enforce it. We’ve been living without a justice system or enforcing laws forever.”</p> <p>“But I mean the Peacekeepers are actually going to follow this law”?</p> <p>“If we have a justice system for our community – yeah”.</p> <p>“So this is just preempted. It’s just something that you’re going to get in place, and when we get a justice system...so this doesn’t mean nothing until you get a justice system involved?”</p> <p>“Not really”.</p> <p>“Not really but he does have a point. All of our laws. Every single one of our laws can be challenged because we don’t have our own justice system. Our justice system right now is with the Court of Kahnawà:ke under the Indian Act.</p>
<p><a href="#">1:52:29 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Delormier</p> <p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p>“And please legal people correct me if I’m wrong or add to it. Yeah, you have a point and until we get a justice system, there’s a lot we can’t do. That’s why we’re working so hard at getting a justice system in. This is the Sanitary Conditions Law. This is a Type II piece of legislation; it’s regulatory in nature. ...Everybody here who is going to be providing feedback is going to make the law what it is going to be. It’s not something that the MCK is going to dictate. There is a need for a law and the community is going to help determine what that law is going to look like.” (pause).</p> <p>“Well that’s the reason why I asked that question about the longhouse earlier. Because not all of the community is involved.”</p> <p>“That’s what it was. Thank you.”</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Brian Delormier	“And there is a lot of people that are against this process completely; that are totally against it. I understand that we need something here.”
<a href="#">1:53:31 PM</a>	Brian Delormier  Linda Delormier	“But the way you’re going about it. I understand your way of thinking, but there’s a lot that you don’t have here yet. There’s a lot of things left open to criticism big time.”  “Agreed. ...There are things that need to be perfected. It needs to be made better and we need the community to help us do that.”
<a href="#">1:53:58 PM</a>	Linda Delormier  Brian Delormier  Linda Delormier	“Because it’s going to be laws affecting you. What I wanted to say earlier was that there was no consistent process before. There was no way to develop a law in this community that used a consistent format. Laws were developed in a day, 6 months or a year. It was never a consistent process. This is a way to help ensure there’s a consistent process and the community is informed and is involved in the decisions along the way. Yes it needs to evolve, it needs to be streamlined and yes we need you to tell us what you want in here.” “Okay. One more question.”  “Okay, last question”.
<a href="#">1:54:52 PM</a>	Brian Delormier  Linda Delormier	“There’s only what - 30 people here today? Is this the way it’s going to go through the whole process? Through all the laws you have on the agenda, everything that’s brought up? You’ve got a small group of people like this. Are people that are going to pass these laws for the entire community? No matter what type of law it is. A small group of people in here are the ones that are going to pass it”?  “At this point, that’s the way it’s written in the process, yes. However, this was open to anybody, and I understand the room is small but we were just using historical data for room selection.”
<a href="#">1:55:28 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	“I just wanted to say that in the Longhouse it’s the same way. Everybody has an opportunity to come to a meeting when they’re making decisions on policy, community or the clan or whoever. If you’re not there, it was your responsibility to be there. ...Nobody was stopping you from being there. ...There are people of the longhouse that will say’ “I wasn’t there, you can’t make the decision”. But you have an opportunity to go when they are making a decision or putting up a policy or position. Everybody is invited. The ones who come are the ones who

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		contribute. You can bring a horse to water, but you can't make him drink. And this is as open as we can possibly think to make it at this point in time. It will improve and we need the community to help us to get it there.”
<a href="#">1:56:15 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	“I just don’t see much difference from this and in 1979 when Uncle Calvin was standing up there in the Knights of Columbus yelling about all kinds of stuff ...Peacekeepers...all this stuff changed to traditionalism. All these laws with Joe Norton, we had all the people there from all different sectors of the community standing up saying yes we want this. I don’t see too much of a difference than this. Because last time, a small group of people would come in and say, ‘okay we want this law passed, this passed’, they all raise their hands and boom ( <i>taps fist on table</i> ) – it was done.”
	Dave Regis	“Well that’s how it worked for them, right”?
	Brian Delormier	“That’s how it worked then...I don’t see too much of a difference with the same small group of people”.
<a href="#">1:56:55 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	“I’m talking as a community member, I’m here as a community member too because I have concerns with this. The community that showed up here, the ones that did or didn’t. The people that showed up here can either make this thing work or they can make it fail. If there was 1 person here or 100 persons here. This is the time for the community to speak. Now.”...Even at Akwesasne they do that. Only a hand-full of shows up and they decide they’re going to do this, well they’re going to do it. Because that’s the decision that they made.”
<a href="#">1:57:24 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	“I’m just asking these questions so that everyone here could understand this.”
	Martin Leborgne	“And that’s why I’m saying that too. I’ve been asking, right from the get go, for regulations. I want regulations to protect me and the business that’s on my property.”
<a href="#">1:57:39 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	I want some sort of regulation ... but don't want to see permits, and penalties and fines. Buy a permit. (laughter from crowd) That’s my concern. I don’t need that. Every time that I have to go for a permit, that takes my freedoms away – and that’s the way I feel. (clapping from crowd) And this is what I’ve been getting a lot from the people, but it’s me speaking myself.
	Brian Delormier	“I’m just trying to clarify the process here Martin.”
	Martin	“Yep. I understand”.



DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Leborgne  Brian Delormier Martin Leborgne	“A lot of people hear about it but they don’t understand it. Saying, -how come this isn’t involved, how come these people aren’t a part of it-...you said it, they don’t want to be involved.” “Because they choose not to be involved. The opportunity is there.”
<a href="#">1:58:22 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	“Everybody has the opportunity to say their piece - today. It’s been well publicized.”
<a href="#">1:58:32 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne  Linda Delormier	“Now is their chance to speak – speak.”  “Okay – Is it about the activities to-date, or is it a question about the law? (person raises hand to ask another question) – Last question”.
<a href="#">1:58:47 PM</a>	Kirk Stacey  Linda Delormier  Kirk Stacey  Linda Delormier	“The lack of people being here, does it show a lack of faith in the system?”  “I don’t know. I couldn’t answer that...What do you think?”  “I think it does. I don’t think very many people have faith in Council at all. Their track record isn’t the greatest.”  “And that’s why we’re here. Alright, now we’re moving on. We are going to go into the official reading of the law. And again, all of these questions; I’m not doing this to stop you from talking. I just need to get the law read into the record, and then we can open the table.”
<a href="#">1:59:26 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	<b>Reading of Law:</b> The Sanitary Conditions Law (SCL) was read aloud noting the Enactment Date (April 20, 1968) and Amendment Dates (June 1977, Feb. 1993, Nov. 1999 & June 2000) and noting the last request to amend on June 2010.
<a href="#">2:13:13 PM</a>	Kirk Stacey	“Can we have a copy of the unmolested law before changes?”
<a href="#">2:13:47 PM</a>	Heather Jacobs-Whyte	An introduction explaining position at Lands Unit - Researcher/Policy Analyst/Developer for lands initiatives. <b>Why we did this?</b> Because Lands Unit faced with businesses bringing in possibly contaminated material with the possibility of contamination of the lands. “Cannot be everywhere for every little thing.” In Feb. 2011 Lands Unit went to Council to ask for a mandate to amend the law. So that we could, at Lands, write regulations. <b>Why are we making laws?</b> Because we can't reach people -



DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		<p>can't just ask them not to do this. "Laws give boundaries with consequence...Great Law-there was boundary, there was consequence, except this is not within Confederacy structure; (<i>comment from crowd: 'You got that right'</i>) this is Kahnawà:ke structure... Need structure - some sort of planned way to get laws out there because we need it."</p> <p><b>Mandate:</b> Draft amendments to Sanitary Conditions Law provided in February.</p> <p><b>Purpose:</b> To enhance the authorities of the MCK and to adopt regulation. "To eliminate as much as possible the potential environmental contamination and the risk of public health and safety." This is happening right now in Kahnawà:ke.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b> To update the definitions, provide authority to MCK; Lands Unit part of – not just Chiefs but whole organization. "To adopt regulation, to give permits under the law, for any operation that can increase potential of environmental contamination and increase the risk to public health and safety."</p>
<p><a href="#">2:18:10 PM</a></p>	<p>Heather Jacobs-Whyte (HJW)</p>	<p>Which law could we use to write this? We chose the SCL because it gave us a need to write regulation. "The SCL already had pieces that we could expand on like inspectors &amp; landfill operations."</p> <p>The SCL was written back in 1968 and responded to issues of the day. "So, we're responding to that at Lands Unit. In the end Lands Unit would like to write up regulations for business or industry that guides operating in an environmentally friendly way and use a permit system, which will be written up as a regulation as well. To ensure that anything coming into the community is clean."</p> <p>"Important to note that many people were unaware that the SCL is actually in affect right now...changes or amendments were only the parts that we asked you to look at over the 30-day review."</p> <p>People are angry and think that the amendments to the SCL include getting a permit to add on to their garage. "This is not what it means; you can interpret the law that way, but it's not what it means."</p> <p>"If your business or home is not contaminating the environment and you're not doing anything to harm the environment, or change the lands; then don't worry about it. The SCL does not include residential homes or small business that will not change the environment."</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		<p><b>Explanation of Amendments:</b> All amendments were reviewed in bolded areas within the law. Lands Unit amended 5 areas:</p> <p><b>1) Definitions</b> – A lot of overlap that was amended. New definitions are in bold (Clean Regulated Fill, Contaminant, Debris, Landfill/Landfill Material, Land Filling, Recycling &amp; Refuse).</p> <p><b>2) Structure of document</b> – Eliminated repetitiveness &amp; reformatted/restructured – just moved it from one place to another. Other modifications included rewording &amp; renumbering of law.</p> <p><b>3) Permits</b> – To give authority to MCK – the organization, to issue permits for operation supported by regulation and includes anything involving dumping, landfill or demolition waste recycling. Section 6 (most recent version of law) <i>Disposal of Refuse</i> was removed and changed to: <b>Section II 16. Operating Permits.</b></p> <p><b>4) Regulations</b> – Didn’t exist. Cannot write Regulations if law does not say you can, so added: <b>Section III 20. Regulations.</b> A regulation is the ‘how to do it’.</p> <p><b>5) Inspectors</b> – To make reference to write Regulations for inspectors determine scope of inspectors and provide a clear range of ability. Included timeframe for the work that had to be done, noting work to be done within 5 working days.</p>
<p><a href="#">2:39:05 PM</a></p>	<p>HJW</p>	<p><b>Overview – Feedback Received:</b> During the 30-Day timeframe through the KLCC website (kahnawakemakingdecisions.com). Website opened 525 times and 16 people sent written feedback.</p>
<p><a href="#">2:41:07 PM</a></p>	<p>Dave Regis  HJW  Unidentified Community Member  HJW  Irvin Goodleaf  -----</p>	<p>“Those 525 people read law, did they like it?”</p> <p>“They didn’t say. Only 16 people gave written feedback.”</p> <p>“Were they community members?”</p> <p>“There’s no way to track.”</p> <p>“Maybe she told everybody to go on.”</p> <p><b>More outbursts from community members</b></p>
<p><a href="#">2:41:55 PM</a></p>	<p>Martin Leborgne</p>	<p>“Is there a way of tracking if there were any repeat visitors?”</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Linda Delormier	“Not the way we did it. Not that time. I don’t know if it could be done the next time.”
	HJW	Joe Delaronde says yes we could tell if they were repeat visitors.  Feedback summarized & presented in point form: “Any part of the feedback that doesn't relate to the draft amendments is going to be given back to Chief & Council. They are the decision makers in Type II legislation. If our mandate is going to be now expanded.
<a href="#">2:42:30 PM</a>	HJW	The people said this, so we have to do that.”
	Brian Delormier	“Do you want to repeat that part? That Council is what, when it comes to regulations?”
	HJW	“No. Not regulations. Any part of the feedback that does not connect to the amendment. Five areas I just described. It doesn’t connect to that. I bring all the feedback to Chief & Council and they make the decision whether to expand the mandate or keep it as is.
	Linda Delormier	“I’ll go over that more when I talk about next steps, so I’ll go into detail about what’s going to happen there.”
	HJW	<b>Feedback Received:</b> <b>General Statements from Community Members:</b> -The law protects the community members from existing businesses & the harm to the environment a business can have. -Lack of enforcement from the past -Legislation is much needed and is compatible with the traditional concept of responsible stewardship over the land. -Some areas exceed environmental intent and over-legislate to mirror rigid and overly bureaucratic standards of surrounding communities. -This deteriorates the distinctive nature of our community and gives to much executive power to MCK. -Not comfortable with the authoritarian nature. -I fully support this document.
<a href="#">2:44:57 PM</a>	HJW	<b>Specific to Section II #16 Statements from Community Members:</b> -Does this section on Permits relate to tobacco factories? -Does it relate to tobacco stores? -Does it relate to me changing a structure for addition to my



DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		Explains how Lands does not have the mandate to address the following comments/feedback received:
<a href="#">2:48:41 PM</a>	HJW	<p><b><u>Section II #2 Responsibility for Property &amp; #3 Duties of Persons</u></b>  -I am insulted that this law is telling me to keep my yard clean.</p> <p><b><u>#10 Death of Animals</u></b>  -Does this apply to family pets and back yard burials where the current law you have to notify MCK for directives on handling an animal carcass? I can understand how this applies to large animal carcass like deer or mass animal graves.  -They're concerned regarding an existing business and how this law can help deal with the legal, ethical, and the location of this business of this business in a residential area.</p> <p><b><u>#11 Tainted Food</u></b>  -This is unrealistic to domestic households. Consider revision or omit it.</p> <p><b><u>#13 Scrap Prohibited</u></b>  -It is unclear if this applies to auto parts businesses.  -This relates to problems of neighbors conditions of their yard. There are scrap and unknown contents of containers that have been there for years. There are problems of landfilling.  -Concerns expressed that this section was not enforced.  -This is still important for public health &amp; safety for situations such as storage practices, for rubbish, cars, old houses ready to fall down, cigarette factories. This is risky &amp; dangerous for our youth. It damages the environment and community appearance.</p> <p><b><u>#14 Signs</u></b>  -Will this affect a business sign or beautification sign in a common area?  -Understand that this section applies to commercial signs like billboards. That needs to be clarified, otherwise omit the section.  -Object to signs on residential property being scrutinized by the MCK</p> <p><b><u>#21 Penalties</u></b>  -We need stronger fines.</p>
<a href="#">2:51:59 PM</a>	Holly McComber  HJW  Linda Delormier	Are the comments available?  "No."  "“Yes comments will be available.” Every law has a binder that contains all pertinent/applicable documents, such as comments. Working on a software program that will store this information. All community members are allowed to view any of these files at

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		the KLCC office.
<a href="#">2:52:49 PM</a>	<p>Kirk Stacey</p> <p>Linda Delormier Kirk Stacey</p> <p>Rhonda Kirby</p> <p>Dave Regis</p> <p>Rhonda Kirby Dave Regis</p>	<p><b><u>Questions/Answer/Statements (Q/A/S):</u></b></p> <p><b>Q:</b> “Who were the frame builders of this document - amendments &amp; first part of it?”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “Drafted in 1968 but unsure by whom.” (<i>Sends Brittany to get original copy of the law signed in 1968.</i>)</p> <p><b>S &amp; Q:</b> “Document has no responsibility to it; if someone dumps nuclear waste here, who cleans it up? I see it’s picking on our own people; doesn’t have anything for outsiders. I decide to dump nuclear waste and make millions of dollars from it. How much is it going to cost to clean it up, and we’re worried about signs &amp; permits? Nothing stated in law about that.” (<i>Question directed to Jean Pommerville – asking if he’s on legal team here &amp; suggests more respect for the people of Kahnawà:ke when writing laws. Joe Delaronde suggests Kirk uses respect when addressing people and asks him to tone it down. Wallace Bully Stacey makes reference to showing respect by taking it outside. Kirk tells Bully to sit down &amp; relax.</i>)</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Gives example of disrespect from her neighbor who has dumped their garbage in their backyard for almost 1 year. Supposed to have respect for one another and our land. We should be looking at the law as a whole, it’s not about getting a permit to put an addition on to your house...it’s about respect. Can’t bring grand-daughter in backyard, because there may be rats. Do we want to live in a dump?”</p> <p><b>Q:</b> “Well is that a reason to make this law? Do we want to live in a municipality? We’re going to have a handful of people making these laws...”</p> <p><b>Q:</b> “Well, what do you want? Dave what do you want?”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “Well if you see the guy who’s doing the dumping and speak to him/them about it.”</p>
<a href="#">2:57:57 PM</a>	<p>Rhonda K. Dave Regis</p> <p>Rhonda K.</p> <p>Dave Regis Rhonda K.</p> <p>Dave Regis Holly McComber Linda</p>	<p><b>S:</b> “Tried that and it doesn’t work, it just continues.”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Permits is not the way to go; you might as well just charge us tax.”</p> <p><b>Q:</b> “So what are we supposed to do, just let them throw their garbage out by their house?”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “No, we have to do something, but not this permit thing.”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “But you have to look at this law first. The whole thing, as a whole. I think you’re getting all riled up for I don’t know what. I think we can talk about this. If you take a ride around town you’ll see what I mean.”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “No, there’s not. A lot’s been cleaned up.”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Excuse me, but I work in this town and I see a lot...”</p> <p>Discussion stopped by Linda who tries to restore order. Notes</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Delormier	sequence to speak. Notes passion coming from people and
<a href="#">2:58:44 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	explains the Sanitary Conditions Law was drafted with good intent - protecting the earth. Wherever it says environment – replace it in your minds with earth. We all live here and want something left here for our grand-children. Repeats order of question sequence
<a href="#">2:59:38 PM</a>	Wallace Bully Stacey (Bully)  Linda Delormier  Bully Stacey  Eva Johnson  Linda Delormier  Eva Johnson  HJW  -----	<p><b>S/Q:</b> “It seems like Eva isn't doing her job, you had to put someone else there? She’s been doing it for the last 25 years...”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “She doesn’t have anything; she doesn’t have any power”(gets interrupted by Wallace Bully Stacey)</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Oh yeah, when the landfill comes in she has a say.”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “Landfill yes, but not material just coming in here and might be permanently landfilled.”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Like those barrels of nuclear waste, that’s...”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Supposedly coming here (gets interrupted by 2 community members stating/asking questions: “You should be checking that then.” <b>Another community member:</b> “Is there someone monitoring it?” )</p> <p><b>S:</b> “That’s why we want to write regulations.”</p> <p><b>More outbursts from community members</b></p>
<a href="#">3:00:10 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	Explains permit process when last dump closed in 1987. “That was from the 60's & 70's...Since then there’s been a permit system in place. Our people don’t have to pay for the permit. It’s anybody from the outside that’s bringing landfill here. That’s to help for the testing of the soil etc. ” Currently no way of knowing for certain what is contained in incoming landfill trucks. Presently spending tens of thousands of dollars testing those 8 (eight) contaminated landfill sites. Compliance has been difficult; some people think we shouldn't have any laws. “If people did the right things we wouldn’t have to have any laws.” Bottom line, if you lived in those contaminated areas you would be asking for some help.
<a href="#">3:02:12 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey  HJW & Eva Johnson Dave Regis	<p><b>Q:</b> “Existing permits that were issued already, once this law gets implemented, are they going to be revoked and have to reapply?”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “There are no existing permits.”</p> <p><b>S:</b> “Get off that permit thing we don’t want that.”</p>



DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	HJW	<b>S:</b> “The only thing we have is for landfilling. What we want to write about is demolition waste recycling and any kind of business that can change the quality of the environment.”
<a href="#">3:03:17 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey HJW	<b>Q:</b> “Demolition does not go into the landfill?”  <b>A:</b> “No. Taking in stuff, changing, cleaning & moving it out. It doesn’t stay. Have to have something...to be accountable.”
<a href="#">3:03:47 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey	<b>S:</b> Notes no mention in Sanitary Conditions Law of sewage plants & raw sewage being dumped into river or anyone cleaning it up.
<a href="#">3:04:42 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	<b>A:</b> Explains that Public Services installed additional pipe that stopped pumping raw sewage into river.
<a href="#">3:05:13 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey	<b>Recommendations to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 1) Something about raw sewage to protect the environment. 2) Gas leaks at the gas station. Who is responsible for gas leaks?
<a href="#">3:05:55 PM</a>	Bully Stacey	<b>A:</b> Gas stations covered by insurance companies - \$1 M bond – any damage; insurance pays.
<a href="#">3:06:12 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey	<b>Recommendations to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 3) Felt owners of gas stations and cigarette factories should be held accountable for leaks. 4) Penalties regarding community resources
<a href="#">3:06:42 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	Notes that SCL does mention any damage to the environment.
<a href="#">3:07:07 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey	Who pays for that?
<a href="#">3:07:17 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	“Hoping for a more comprehensive review of SCL.” Notes SCL already includes a lot that protects environment. All comments sent in on website, in writing, verbally in person or through phone calls, etc. and all recommendations from today will be sent to Council table for their review. Comments will be put in report form by Lands Unit & LCC.
<a href="#">3:08:22 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	Summarizes 4 points recommended by Matthew Stacey: 1) tobacco, 2) gas leakage, 3) raw sewage leaks & 4) penalties re: community resources
<a href="#">3:08:45 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey	<b>Recommendation(s) to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 5) To have a map that shows residential & commercial areas. 6) Lastly, confiscation of bull dozer or pump.
<a href="#">3:09:45 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	Speaks of past case involving confiscation of truck until cleaned up what was brought in unlawfully.

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

<p><a href="#">3:10:40 PM</a></p>	<p>Matthew Stacey</p>	<p>Gives <i>hypothetical</i> example of a person that did not want or could not pay penalty (fine). Asks if would be able to confiscate their house and sell it as payment for damages they incurred. <b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 7) More clarity of Penalty. Possibly need to increase fine to suit infraction. Big difference between infraction and i.e. \$1 M damages to environment.</p>
<p><a href="#">3:11:10 PM</a></p>	<p>HJW</p>	<p>“That is something that could be asked upon issuing a permit – are you insured? Is your business going to put out contaminants to the land – yes or no? If so, how are you insured?”  <b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 8) Insurance coverage of person applying for a permit to cover extent of possible damages.  Insurance coverage should or could cover lawyer fees for Council to cover damages.</p>
<p><a href="#">3:13:08 PM</a></p>	<p>Matthew Stacey</p>	<p><b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 9) Content of storage facilities. “How do you go about checking to see if somebody is storing hazardous materials?”</p>
<p><a href="#">3:13:54 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p>Notes she has copy of original by-law from 1968 that passed the Sanitary Conditions Law. Law signed by: Andrew Delisle, Ronnie Kirby, Tom Lahache, Mary Cross, Frank Goodleaf, Frank Curotte, Howard Deer and unidentifiable name.</p>
<p><a href="#">3:14:38 PM</a></p>	<p>Christine Deom-Zachary</p>	<p><b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 10) Definitions: Combine definitions of Clean, Regulated Fill &amp; Landfill/Landfill Material since they’re identical. 11) Add definition for Inspectors 12) Refuse bolded as new definition but Scrap in Section II #13 not bolded yet has same or similar meaning. Maybe should have stuck with ‘Scrap’ in the first place and wouldn’t have had to amend it. 13) Section II #16 – the term <i>Structure</i> should have been included in the Definition section. Had that been done, you would have seen that it didn’t mean residential households and you wouldn’t need a permit for household renovations. 14) Section II #4.1 refers to <i>refuse &amp; scrap</i> - #13 – again has similar meanings. Need to make it a ‘better readable’ amendment. 15) Section II #18 - Authority of the inspectors, not bolded not an amendment. Questionable. Speaks about personal property; when there is no way you can get into someone's house without a search warrant. It's very strange. Should be updated especially</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		<p>since original law written in 1968.</p> <p><b>Additional Feedback:</b> Half the anger dispelled today could have been avoided had the definition of <b>Structure</b> read, ‘not your house’.</p>
<a href="#">3:18:33 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	Clarity btw the law and residential
<a href="#">3:18:55 PM</a>	Christine Deom-Zachary	<p><b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b></p> <p>16) Ensure clarification between residential and commercial is made throughout the law. Two areas need to be clearly defined.</p> <p>17) Section III - #21 Penalties – Are applicable for governments who have a summary of offence structure.</p> <p>Asks Jean. We have a very small jurisdiction.</p>
<a href="#">3:19:20 PM</a>	Jean Pommainville	Clarifies that it is maximum offence not an indictable offence.
<a href="#">3:19:41 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	Gives example of repeated offender who continually returned to Kahnawà:ke and notes that it’s not our own people but outsiders bringing in illegal waste.
<a href="#">3:21:27 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	<p><b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b></p> <p>18) Specify each type of business you are referring to in the law. i.e. Tobacco Industry fire and the need for Tobacco Regulations. Notes that he didn’t see anything concerning the tobacco industry that would pollute the environment.</p> <p><b>Additional Feedback:</b> Don't appreciate back door law sneaking in through the SCL.</p>
<a href="#">3:23:24 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	Never talked about tobacco when drafting the law; it was only mentioned from community members in the feedback.
<a href="#">3:23:42 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	Appreciate being notified about any Regulations pertaining to the Tobacco Industry.
<a href="#">3:24:19 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	<p>Speaks about his recycling business on his property and the need to have regulations for landfill company, VESPO, to follow. Wasn’t happy with content of fill he was receiving from VESPO and wanted it kept enviro-friendly.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b></p> <p>19) Wants Draft Regulations attached to the SCL otherwise it's like writing a blank check. As a means to have protection for his land. <b>**Regulations attached to the draft law**</b></p>
<a href="#">3:27:47 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	Can you specify what you said before about the lawyer saying you can’t write Regulations?
	Linda Delormier	“The amendment in this law request that regulations be written. You can’t write regulations without the law saying ... <i>(Brian</i>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Brian Delormier	<i>interrupts Linda)</i>  Well aren't we making our own laws here? Why are listening to him (lawyer)? We can do what we want here.
<a href="#">3:28:13 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	Reiterates how much easier it would have been had the Amendments were made and the Regulations were attached.
<a href="#">3:28:38 PM</a>	Debbie Morris	Acknowledges that he was in favor of having the Regulations written or attached to the law, but explains that the law will go back to the Council table for approval.
<a href="#">3:28:47 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	Notes that he is aware of the reasoning behind not having the Regulations attached, but stresses the need for transparency and having everything in plain view. (Community members agree with Martin and comment on vagueness of law)
<a href="#">3:29:24 PM</a>	HJW	"This is a project – project goes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. You don't write regulations when haven't been given the authority to write the regulations. You can't do everything all at once; it doesn't make logistical sense. So we have to get the law, to be able to write the regulations. And because it's a Type II law, everything goes back to Council anyway."
<a href="#">3:30:24 PM</a>	Martin L.	Understand why buy it's a lot easier if we did things that way. That's on the outside that they do that. We can do it here and say we want to amend this law because we want these Regulations accepted into this law.
<a href="#">3:30:53 PM</a>	Brian Delormier  HJW  Brian Delormier HJW  Brian Delormier  Linda Delormier	"You just confused the hell out of me. This thing is supposed to be a people's initiative, right? Okay, so you just said that you have to get a law to write regulations and then the MCK has to approve these Regulations?"  "Yes. That's how the Type II process goes."  "What about the people? I thought the people were supposed to be this process?"  "This is where it is."  "This is it. We've got no say after this? This is bullshit."  "...(sigh)"

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

<p><a href="#">3:31:18 PM</a></p>	<p>Martin Leborgne  Linda Delormier Martin Leborgne Linda Delormier</p>	<p><b>Q:</b> “Linda, one more question – the fines, or if there is a fine, how is that, where is that money going to go? What’s it going to be used for?” (inaudible –more outbursts, “...secretaries”) Requests that everybody respects the person speaking and not interrupt or yell. “I didn’t get an answer to my question earlier.”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “I don’t know.”</p>
<p><a href="#">3:32:06 PM</a></p>	<p>Irvin Goodleaf       HJW Irvin Goodleaf  Dave Regis Irvin Goodleaf Dave Regis</p>	<p>Comments on the scope within law unclear. “Looks like my name all over the place. Looks like my father drafted the law. Looks like you’re after three people when they started in ’64...whole issue brought up by Council. They’re the one that started the dump. They signed a contract at Lawrence. They signed a contract at Service Sanitaire in Montreal...At Lawrence Dump they were operating there and it caught on fire. They were trying to get them, Buddy, Redbird &amp; Jimmy Flo. That’s why the law was written in that fashion.” Informs that he operated under the former by-law. “Wish you’d quit calling us contaminators. We didn’t bring this stuff here. We were authorized like the former law says her. We were authorized by Council...now you that you’re going to have regulations...or permits you don’t have to go to Council...This is a regulatory matter and should be dealt with by bureaucrats.</p> <p>“It’s the structure of the day.” “...Wish you guys would get over it whatever’s hurting you... There’s nothing wrong with landfill. “We don’t want to buy permits.” “The permit is given to the white guy.” “But here in town they want us to get a permit if we want to do something now.”</p>
<p><a href="#">3:35:22 PM</a></p>	<p>Eva, Debbie Morris &amp; HJW</p>	<p>“It doesn’t say that.” “It would be managed by operating permits.”</p>
<p><a href="#">3:36:01 PM</a></p>	<p>Eva Johnson</p>	<p>“It was written back in the day, when article 22 says all members of the RCMP. Things are a little bit different in 1968.” The contaminated places studied show that the contaminants are moving.</p>
<p><a href="#">3:37:00 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Del.</p>	<p>“Only the bolded sections of the law are the amendments taking the feedback.”</p>
<p><a href="#">3:37:12 PM</a></p>	<p>Lynn Jacobs</p>	<p><b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b></p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		20) More discussion on who inspectors would be and where they'd be housed and what their role would be. Never had any inspectors at Environment inspecting and enforcing. More of a technician or PK function. It's really vague.
<a href="#">3:38:38 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey	Re: Former dumping sights usage of arsenic to prevent rats <b>Q:</b> How long does it take for the arsenic to dissolve and shouldn't it be tested? What about the people that build on or around that area, shouldn't they be advised?
<a href="#">3:40:21 PM</a>	Linda Del.	Requests Eva Johnson to respond and notes that this is exactly why this Sanitary Conditions Law is needed.
<a href="#">3:40:39 PM</a>	Eva Johnson  Matthew Stacey	Explains that testing in contaminated areas is done and the Environment Office invites the landowners/homeowners to an information session to inform them of results. Notes disinterest from public and refusal to believe reports of their own contaminated land. <b>Q:</b> "Has Council cleaned up its own mess from the town dump?"
<a href="#">3:43:05 PM</a>	Matthew Stacey  Eva Johnson	<b>Q:</b> "Who overlooks these sites? (i.e. residential and commercial maps). In the future people may build in those areas; are you following the same practices as in the past with other dumps?"  <b>A:</b> "Yes we've done a study and clean near Survival School site because there was a lot of lead in the soil, but most efforts centered around residential areas where people still rely on wells."
<a href="#">3:44:36 PM</a>	Unidentified community member	<b>Q:</b> Asks Eva if there's easy access to this information and where it's at.
<a href="#">3:45:28 PM</a>	Eva Johnson	<b>A:</b> Yes - any information is always available at the Environment Office.
<a href="#">3:45:45 PM</a>	HJW	<b>Recommendation to Include in Sanitary Conditions Law:</b> 21) Change Definition of "person" by omitting 'wife or child' as it's paternalistic.
<a href="#">3:46:40 PM</a>	Brian Delormier  Linda Del.	<b>S/Q:</b> "I have a problem with the process. I understand that we need a lot of this stuff that's in here. A lot that we don't. And all the comments that were made today; you're writing them down in 1.5 – 2 hours. From what I got here, we're not going to be able to have our say again...traditional government...keeps getting thrown back into the well/clans. We talk about it until we get it done. Here, people got 1 – 2 hour session. The people get to speak their voice. What happens next? Where do you go from here?" <b>A:</b> Refers back to the agenda and notes that she would be

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		discussing the Next Steps. Asks if anyone has any questions about the feedback.
<a href="#">3:47:35 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne  Linda Delormier	Q: “Type II – doesn’t it have to go through three (3) readings?  A: “Yes – we’re going to be talking about that in the Next Steps.” ( <i>requests no interruptions</i> )
<a href="#">3:47:42 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	<p><b>Process: Next Steps:</b> “The CDMP is a transitional process. It is not traditional government, not the longhouse, not clan system...first attempt to help community learn about consensus building decision making model. Consensus building was the way we made decisions historically and culturally... obvious fit for any kind of decision-making process we use for legislation, policy. Type I &amp; II Processes – type I – mandate comes from community. Type II little less comprehensive in its consultation and mandate comes from the Council. Process also goes through an annual review. There might be a few changes after annual revisions.”</p> <p>Three phases in both Type I &amp; Type II process: Phase 1 – Getting information out to community &amp; providing mandate for the law. Phase 2 - Planning, deliberation and development of the law. Phase 3 – Enactment of the law</p> <p>Right now in Phase 2 Notes Community members requested that we shorten CDMP because too cumbersome. “Right now the way it stands, Phase 2 is a First Reading, Second Reading and a Third Reading with legislative sessions. The Readings are this: -Community notified with the law you were given -There’s a Reading and then a legislative session where we give the Council all of the information that we’ve gathered. -Before it goes to a Second Reading, all of the questions that have been brought up and provided direction by the Council have to be answered. The budgeting, financial and legal impact, resource requirements, needs and financial analysis, implementation framework and planning. All of those things have to be developed before we come to the Second Reading.</p> <p>The next step for this law: -Take all feedback we received over the 30 days and today. -Sit together and provide that information to Chief &amp; Council who provided the original mandate for this law with the scope purpose and intent.</p>



DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

		<p>-A couple of things can happen at that point. They can say, ‘let’s just stick to the original scope and finish it later OR, ‘let’s expand the scope that we’d originally given and provide clarity for all of these issues that have come up here.</p> <p>-Then it’ll go through a legal analysis to see what other laws it impacts and what else it’s going to affect in the community. Content of the law will be doing budgeting, operational planning... The reason was that laws were passed without having an implementation plan. Want laws to be enforceable when passed.</p> <p>-Before the First &amp; Second Reading is when all of this operational work gets done.</p> <p>Third Reading</p> <p>-Certification of the process is signed by the KLCC and Chiefs and that identifies that the process as its written was followed.</p> <p>Phase 3</p> <p>-Enactment of law</p> <p>That’s the process. If anyone wants more detail of see the Procedural Manual feel free to visit office. Going through revision right now so the processes are changing. Slight modification made to Type II to make it more efficient and less cumbersome.</p>
<p><a href="#">3:54:05 PM</a></p>	<p>Brian Delormier</p>	<p><b>S:</b> This is the First Reading - 2 hrs., Second Reading is by the Council</p>
<p><a href="#">3:54:29 PM</a></p>	<p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p><b>A:</b> They’re all ...there’s Readings, Consultation Legislative session...</p>
<p><a href="#">3:54:47 PM</a></p>	<p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p> <p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p> <p>Brian Delormier</p> <p>Linda Delormier</p>	<p><b>Q:</b> “My point is that from this point on, we never get a say further from this point on?”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “No.”</p> <p><b>Q:</b> “We get no say at all?”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “Yes you get a say (<i>Brian interrupts Linda</i>).”</p> <p><b>Q:</b> “If all this information that you’ve given. All this ... (Linda interrupts Brian).”</p> <p><b>A:</b> “Brian, before you go any further. Yes, you do get a say.”</p>

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Brian Delormier	S: "It's so vague. You're going to do it the way you want...don't like this process...don't want the process, what do we get to do then. It's not in our hands any longer?"
<a href="#">3:56:05 PM</a>	Linda Delormier  Brian Delormier  Linda Delormier	A: "It's always in your hands. Type II process the Chief & Council give the mandate. So if you don't like or agree what's going on... You can put another request in. This is bullshit. I want this law changed. And we have to put it through the process again. Always."  "This process is the first process that has anything that caters to the community...Good for the collective."  "If the collective doesn't like - agree with it, then what? We put in another law to stop it?"  "No you put another request to change the law."
<a href="#">3:56:59 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	So we start all over again.
<a href="#">3:57:08 PM</a>	HJW  Brian Delormier  Linda Delormier	Explains that anyone could have put a request in the change the SCL; it just so happened to be the Lands Unit.  "...I really don't like the law the way it is."  "Well then work with us to change it."
<a href="#">3:57:50 PM</a>	Kirk Stacey  Linda Del.	Q: Where do you derive your authority from?  A: Through an MCR ...just a technician, I'm a Coordinator of a project. Notes the other revised MCR's.
<a href="#">3:58:15 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	S: Since 2008 our goal is to do exactly just what Brian just said...have to work together...not going to happen overnight.
<a href="#">3:58:59 PM</a>	Kirk Stacey	"Don't see people of the longhouse? So you're never going to get consensus. So you derive your authority from the people that are here? That doesn't seem right to me."
<a href="#">3:59:50 PM</a>	Linda Delormier  HJW	"Yep"  "I'm a member of the longhouse."

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

	Linda Delormier	Reminds people that this process was made knowing that there were different religions and longhouse. The intent was that everybody has a right to say what they want.
<a href="#">4:00:34 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	Continues to debate the Type II process. You need to change this process. Council has too much power. “I understand the Type I process – that makes more sense...Council can’t be the end all be all...The say still sits on Council’s shoulders.”
<a href="#">4:02:04 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	“It’s not perfect...We passed it with that caveat that it had to be revised/reviewed on an annual basis, so that when we do get pieces of legislation or samples of working together, and then people will see that there is a willingness to work together.”
<a href="#">4:03:42 PM</a>	Eva Johnson  Linda Delormier  Eva Johnson	“If people decided that they wanted to put in a proposal that they wanted the same rules or regulations or approval processes as Type I, isn’t that something that would be considered? If that’s the will of a lot of people that are here?”  “If it fell under categorization of a Type I process then it would have to go to the community hearing for the community to provide the mandate...”  “If those are the concerns of the people here today, then it’s got to be brought back and taken into consideration, otherwise it’s not a people’s process.
<a href="#">4:04:35 PM</a>	Linda Delormier	Acknowledges and explains its reason for changes in the process and notes revisions underway.
<a href="#">4:05:18 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	Informs against Type II process with Council having the final say. Regulatory Laws is where all of the business is in Kahnawà:ke... “If you want to make these regulatory laws – their last say on things on how things go, and we get to put in our say and that’s it, then they decide on what happens afterwards, no way in hell am I going to follow this.”
<a href="#">4:06:16 PM</a>	Wallace (Bully) Stacey	Explains fighting the gas tax; now back pedaling pushing Quebec back out the door.
<a href="#">4:06:38 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne	Notes that this was open to public including Longhouse people. “I was under the impression that there would be Three Readings...each time bringing it back noting comments and making changes for a Second Reading, and again a Third Reading. Three times. I don’t know where it came in to shorten it...”

DRAFT MINUTES  
SANITARY CONDITIONS LAW

<a href="#">4:07:58 PM</a>	Johnny Montour	This is one of the processes that was on the table - get the people to make the decision. At the end of the process, after the three meetings (readings) the Council would decide what we wanted - I think it's going to work. We'll take those comments and bring it back.
<a href="#">4:09:46 PM</a>	Joe Delaronde	The idea is to make it work. Wanted to add: some people had comments but didn't make any suggestions on what they would want changed.
<a href="#">4:10:58 PM</a>	Kirk Stacey	"I think what most people want is a consensus from everyone, not just Council. If most people of the Longhouse don't come here, then that's not the people. It's the people who live for the Council is where you're getting your answer from. If it went back to not just the Council but the people of the Longhouse, I think it would be acceptable...But when it comes here and the same people that make the law and put it in place and get the final say – yeah there's a problem with it. The system isn't very balanced."
<a href="#">4:12:20 PM</a>	Brian Delormier	Responds to Joe's last comment about not getting paid to do the technicians and other Council employee's work .
<a href="#">4:13:06 PM</a>	Martin Leborgne Kahsennenhawe Sky-Deer	We can have another meeting  Closing