

<b>Meeting (Issue/Purpose):</b> KLCC Monthly Meeting		<b>Chairperson:</b> Leslie Skye		<b>Recorder:</b> Kim Beauvais	
<b>Date:</b> Thursday, January 7, 2016		<b>Start time:</b> 1:30 pm		<b>End time:</b> 4:00 pm	
		<b>Location:</b> MCK Large C.			
<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion/Decision/Action</b>				
Dec. 2/15 ROC <b>Follow-Up:</b>	ROC will be finalized by 4 pm today, if we don't receive any feedback on them.				
Dec.16/15 KLCC Strat. Rev.	Strategic Review DEFERRED to: 02/4/16. It was clarified that the Values & Principles were applicable to the KLCC's relationship with each other as Commissioners. <b>ACTION:</b> KLCC to approve KLCC Principles' definition of: <b>Transparency: "We will be open and honest with each other in an effort to maintain trust."</b>				
2016 KLCC Comm. Plan	A preliminary meeting was held with Communications to discuss KLCC's communication plan as was follow-up from our KLCC Strategic Review on Dec. 16 <sup>th</sup> session. Permission from Council and Information Systems is required for security reasons if we choose YouTube to promote the CDMP. We need a standard format to give updates month after month, like Chiefs' Corner. Approval of up to \$5,000 has been given by Dwaine. <b>Decision:</b> Continue discussions with Joe Delaronde. OCC to forward their communication plans/budgets we could use as a tool.				
KLCC Mandate	<p>A final review was done with discussion about the Coordinator as a voting member. Typically the Coordinator should coordinate and not have a say in the direction of the body. Politically, this will not work well because they are considered to be an operational person that's given direction rather than giving themselves direction. There needs to be a clear delineation between the two. The rationale behind KLCC's decision to give the Coordinator a vote was based on their experience and familiarity with the Process. Discussion then included that in the event of a vote resulting in a stalemate, the Coordinator could have the deciding vote to break the tie. However, it was further noted that the CDMP operates by consensus.</p> <p>Concern expressed that the Mandate document did not identify the voting Commissioners, yet there is reference to a quorum of 50% of voting Commissioners to hold meetings. There is no quorum stated for decision making. Everyone else not specified as "non-voting" Commissioners, is assumed to be voting. The question was raised concerning the possibility of a conflict where MCK employees being Commissioners and signing an oath of office or a confidentiality agreement and their duty of loyalty to their employer MCK. A standard set of guidelines should be developed concerning how employees deal with these conflicts and who they go to see when these situations arise.</p> <p>Loyalties and accountabilities: in the case of an MCK employee who is the KLCC Coordinator and a Commissioner how do you handle conflicts of interest? It was suggested that there be two sets of guidelines developed – political guidelines and one for the employee. It should be noted that there is a difference between an employee who volunteers for a Commission and one who is appointed.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Section 2.1.1 – Remove the youth rep and change the number of Community Representatives to two (2). Add "(non-voting)" after Coordinator. Omit the term "voting" in Quorum - section 3.1.</p>				
File Updates: a) Draft Tobacco Law	<p>The Hearing is on Thursday Jan. 14th. The problem is there was discussion with KTA on how we are going to move ahead with the process. Are we going to use their feedback or are we going to follow the process that's been in place from the beginning? We're saying follow the process. The MOU was to bring the MCK and KTA into the process. We are there now. We have to start from the beginning to address the 15 comments that were omitted or overlooked. They will argue over going back over the 15 comments, and the format of the Law that will be used. Although no categorization done as a Type I or II, it's following a Type I Process. Chief and Council will be updated on Monday concerning this file.</p> <p>Discussion followed about defining the proponent for this Law and outlining their responsibilities. It was noted that there were three (3) separate meetings between KLCC &amp; the Working Group held in July 2014 to define everyone's responsibilities.</p> <p>The MOU can be interpreted in two ways, that it is an instrument to get you into the CDMP, or that the MOU is the process. Upon further review of the MOU, it</p>				

b) Member ship Law	was determined that there is nothing to indicate that the MCK/KTA goes into the process jointly – they only jointly get to the process, and that the proponent is clearly the KTA. Kahsennenhawe reported that the Membership staff met to go over some of the sections that will be addressed. The agenda has to do with applications, in-statement, reinstatement, and adoption. These are some pretty heavy topics. Kahsennenhawe and Alexis will share the responsibilities, while Rose-Ann is on medical leave, at the CDMP Hearings. They are looking forward to the next dates in February. Kahsennenhawe has put membership on the agenda for Chief and Council's Strategic Discussions – 5 or 4 point action plan, any other updates concerning the law suit, Canadian human rights, letters, whatever is the next action. They would like to meet to start discussing membership issues in KCR.
c) Election Law	The 2015 MCK Elections team met to go over the various recommendations that were made to amend the Election Law. The compiled recommendations will be submitted to the KLCC. It is expected that the EDO will be the proponent.
Other: Relationship between KLCC & OCC	Connection to date has been an administrative or operational link with General Manager of Operations, OCC as the 1 <sup>st</sup> supervisor. As KLCC sets strategic direction, the question remains, should a member of the KLCC be the 2 <sup>nd</sup> supervisor for the Coordinator? Currently Kahsennenhawe is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> supervisor, but this can be perceived as political interference. It was suggested for the KLCC to delegate a KLCC member to be her supervisor and perhaps with an in camera meeting to choose. It was noted that KLCC needs a more strategic link and that the Chief Political Advisor of OCC could assume that role with minimal participation initially but eventually could attend both KLCC and CDMP meetings. Lastly, it was suggested that KLCC minimize updates to C & C to quarterly from monthly. <b>ACTION: KLCC to decide whether a KLCC member should act as supervisor to the Coordinator</b>

<i>Meeting Evaluation</i>					
<i>What went well</i>	<i>Problems to Overcome</i>			<i>Solutions</i>	
Good, in-depth discussion on important issues	Energy level of group decreased as meeting went on.			Quick 5 minute break. (8)	
Discussion was good.	None.			None (10)	
Identifying solutions for revising the mandate.	Addressing KTA involvement as proponent of Tobacco Law and ensuring the process is not skewed as a result.			None (7– 8)	
Discussion clarifying needs.	Preparedness lacking. Discussion getting off track.			Time keeper. Updates should be limited to updates – not discussion. (9)	
Very good.	So far so good.			None (8)	
Good dialogue & discussions.	N/A. People not being prepared.			N/A. Reps have to read their material. (7)	
<b>Attendees:</b> Chief Kahsennenhawe Sky-Deer, Chief Robert Patton, Vernon Goodleaf, Jean Pommainville, Kevin Fleischer, Dwaine White					
<b>Guest:</b> Winona Polson-Lahache					
<b>Apologies:</b> Ron Skye, Joe Delaronde					
<b>Next Meeting Dates:</b>	<b>Start Time:</b>	<b>End Time:</b>	<b>Location:</b>	<b>On scale of 1–10 this meeting was</b>	
Tobacco CDMP Hearing	Thurs., Jan. 14/16	6:00 pm	8:30 pm	Karonhianonhnha	<b>a</b>
Mandate Council Disc.	Wed., Jan. 20/16	11:00 am	12:00 pm	Large C.	
Mandate Council Mtg.	Mon., Jan. 25/16	9:30 am	10:00 am	Large C.	<b>8.25</b>
Membership Hearing	Tues., Jan. 26/16	6:00 pm	8:30 pm	Karonhianonhnha	

**Priority Level – A** = Must be covered at this meeting **B** = Important, but may be deferred if time is limited

**INF** = Information **DIS** = Discussion **ACT**= Action